arXiv:1209.4888v1 [math.RA] 21 Sep 2012

# TATE AND TATE-HOCHSCHILD COHOMOLOGY FOR FINITE DIMENSIONAL HOPF ALGEBRAS

VAN C. NGUYEN

Abstract. Let $A$ be any finite dimensional Hopf algebra over a field $\mathbf{k}$. We generalize the notion of Tate cohomology for $A$, which is defined in both positive and negative degrees, and compare it with the Tate-Hochschild cohomology of $A$ that was presented in [3]. We introduce cup products that make the Tate and Tate-Hochschild cohomology of $A$ become graded rings. We establish the relationship between these rings, which turns out to be similar to that in the ordinary non-Tate cohomology case. As an example, we explicitly compute the Tate-Hochschild cohomology for a finite dimensional (cyclic) group algebra. In another example, we compute both the Tate and Tate-Hochschild cohomology for a Taft algebra, in particular, the Sweedler algebra $H_4$.

## 1. Introduction

Tate cohomology was first introduced by John Tate in 1952 [17] for group cohomology arising from class field theory. Others then generalized it to the group ring $RG$ where $R$ is a commutative ring and $G$ is a finite group. Unlike the ordinary cohomology, this cohomology theory is based on complete resolutions, which yield cohomology groups in both positive and negative degrees. During the last several decades, a lot of efforts were put into studying this new cohomology which was briefly summarized in ([6], Ch. VI) or ([8], Ch. XII). In 1992, Benson and Carlson provided some conditions on which the products in negative (Tate) cohomology vanish [2]. Finally in a recent paper [3], Bergh and Jorgensen combined both the notions of Hochschild cohomology and Tate cohomology to present the Tate-Hochschild cohomology of an algebra $A$ such that its enveloping algebra $A^e$ is two-sided Noetherian and Gorenstein. If the Gorenstein dimension of $A^e$ is 0, this cohomology agrees with the ordinary Hochschild cohomology in positive degrees. In this paper, we define the Tate and Tate-Hochschild cohomology specifically for a finite dimensional Hopf algebra $A$ over a field $\mathbf{k}$. Since any finite dimensional Hopf algebra is a Frobenius algebra ([12], Theorem 2.1.3), we will be able to apply some of the results that appeared in [3].

We start out Section 2 by introducing some definitions and notations that will be used throughout the paper. In Section 3, applying a similar construction as in ([5], Section 5.15), we generalize to the Tate cohomology $\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^n(A,\mathbf{k})$ of $A$, for all integers $n$. We explicitly show how to form an $A$-complete resolution $\mathbb{P}$ of $\mathbf{k}$ based on a projective resolution of $\mathbf{k}$, by taking the $\mathbf{k}$-dual of the projective resolution and splicing the two complexes together. The Tate cohomology groups of $A$ with coefficients in $\mathbf{k}$ can be defined as:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^n(A,\mathbf{k}) := \widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^n_A(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}) = \mathrm{H}^n(\mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathbb{P},\mathbf{k})).$$

The Tate homology groups are defined in the same way by taking the Tor functor on an $A$-complete resolution of $\mathbf{k}$. We observe that the Tate cohomology groups share some nice properties such as the dimension-shifting in long exact sequences of cohomology groups, additivity of $\widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}$, and moreover, they agree with the ordinary cohomology groups in positive degrees.

Let $A^e = A \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} A^{op}$ be the enveloping algebra of $A$. We consider $A$ as an $A^e$-module and obtain an $A^e$-complete resolution of $A$. Based on the definition in [3], we form the $n$-th Tate-Hochschild cohomology group of $A$:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^n(A, A) := \widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^n_{A^e}(A, A), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

It is known that the ordinary cohomology of $A$ is an algebra direct summand of its Hochschild cohomology ([11], Prop. 5.6 and Cor. 5.6). This motivates us to ask if the same assertion holds for the Tate cohomology version. Section 4 is where we start making the first comparison between the Tate and the Tate-Hochschild cohomology groups of $A$. In particular, by Proposition 4.2, $\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^n(A, \mathbf{k})$ is a vector space direct summand of $\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^n(A, A)$, for all $n > 0$. By ([3], Cor. 3.8), if $\nu$ is the Nakayama automorphism of a Frobenius algebra $A$ such that $\nu^2 = \mathbf{1}$, then there is an isomorphism:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^n(A, A) \cong \widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^{-(n+1)}(A, A), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Following such symmetric result, we further study the Nakayama automorphism in Section 5. We compute the "formula" for the Nakayama automorphism of the Sweedler algebra $H_4$ and of the general Taft algebra, in an attempt to relate the Tate-Hochschild cohomology groups in positive degrees to those in negative degrees. This computation does not lead us to conclude the cohomology relation for a general finite dimensional Hopf algebra, However, we find that it is helpful in verifying our results in latter sections for the Tate-Hochschild cohomology of the Sweedler algebra $H_4$, as in this case we have $\nu^2 = \mathbf{1}$.

We approach a broader setting by establishing cup products for the two Tate cohomology types in Section 6. These multiplication structures turn $\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^*(A, \mathbf{k})$ and $\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^*(A, A)$ into graded rings. Let $A^{ad}$ be $A$ as an $A$-module via the left adjoint action. Using the ring structures, we prove the following isomorphism in Section 7:

**Theorem.** *Let $A$ be a finite dimensional Hopf algebra over a field $\mathbf{k}$. There exists an isomorphism of algebras:*

$$\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^*(A, A) \cong \widehat{\mathrm{H}}^*(A, A^{ad}).$$

This result generates an important relation between the Tate and the Tate-Hochschild cohomology rings:

**Corollary.** *If $A$ is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra over a field $\mathbf{k}$, then $\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^*(A, \mathbf{k})$ is an algebra direct summand of $\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^*(A, A)$.*

Therefore, the Tate and the Tate-Hochschild cohomology share the same relation as that of the ordinary non-Tate cohomology. In the last two sections, we explicitly compute the Tate and the Tate-Hochschild cohomology for the (cyclic) group algebra $\mathbf{k}G$ and the Taft algebra, in particular, the Sweedler algebra $H_4$. These examples help us to understand the above relation better, and once again, illustrate the symmetric result in [3].

## 2. Preliminaries and Notations

Projective resolutions are commonly used to compute the cohomology of an algebra. We introduce a more general resolution, which involves both positive and negative degrees:

**Definition 2.1.** *Suppose $R$ is a two-sided Noetherian ring. A* ***complete resolution*** *of a finitely generated $R$-module $M$ is an exact complex $\mathbb{P} = \{\{P_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}, d_i : P_i \to P_{i-1}\}$ of finitely generated projective $R$-modules such that it satisfies the following properties:*

1. *The dual complex $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathbb{P}, R)$ is also exact.*
2. *There exists a projective resolution $Q \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} M$ of $M$ and a chain map $\mathbb{P} \xrightarrow{\varphi} Q$ where $\varphi_n$ is bijective for $n \geq 0$.*

Some other authors also use the notation $\mathbb{P} \xrightarrow{\varphi} Q \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} M$ for a complete resolution of $M$. One should note that unlike projective resolutions, complete resolutions do not always exist. However, given the setting as in Definition 2.1, Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 in [1] guarantee the existence of such complete resolutions. Observe that when working in some appropriate context, if there exists a complete resolution, it can be constructed from a projective resolution as follows: the negative-degree component can be obtained by taking the dual of an ordinary projective resolution and splicing the two resolutions together. The detail of such construction will be described later.

In his paper [17], Tate introduced a cohomology theory which used complete resolutions, and therefore, defined the cohomology in both positive and negative degrees. Let $G$ be a finite group and $R$ be a commutative ring. If

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{d_3} P_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} R \to 0$$

is a $RG$-projective resolution of $R$, then apply $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, R)$ to get a dual sequence:

$$0 \to R \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(P_0, R) \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(P_1, R) \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(P_2, R) \to \cdots$$

which is an exact sequence of $RG$-modules, since $R \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(R, R)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, R)$ is an exact functor. Splicing these two sequences together, one forms a doubly infinite sequence:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{d_3} P_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(P_0, R) \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(P_1, R) \to \cdots$$

Using the notation $P_{-(n+1)} := \mathrm{Hom}_R(P_n, R)$, the above sequence is a complete resolution of $R$:

$$\mathbb{P}: \qquad \cdots \xrightarrow{d_3} P_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \to P_{-1} \to P_{-2} \to \cdots$$

Let $M$ be another (left) $RG$-module, apply $\mathrm{Hom}_{RG}(-, M)$ to $\mathbb{P}$ and take the homology of this new complex. We thus obtain the **Tate cohomology** for $RG$:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^n(G, M) := \widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^n_{RG}(R, M) = \mathrm{H}^n(\mathrm{Hom}_{RG}(\mathbb{P}, M)), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We would like to generalize this definition to any finite dimensional Hopf algebra $A$ over a field $\mathbf{k}$. For the rest of this paper, we let $\mathbf{k}$ be our base field, tensor products will be over $\mathbf{k}$ unless stated otherwise. Let us recall some definitions and notations:

Let $A$ be a finite dimensional Hopf algebra over $\mathbf{k}$ with the antipode $S$. The $\mathbf{k}$-dual $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(-, \mathbf{k})$ is denoted by $D(-)$ and the ring dual $\mathrm{Hom}_A(-, A)$ is denoted by $(-)^*$. This is an unfortunate notation, because to a Hopf algebraist, $D(A)$ usually stands for the "Drinfeld

double" of $A$. However, to be consistent with the primary reference paper [3], we will adopt the above notation.

We denote the counit $\varepsilon : A \to \mathbf{k}$ and use Sweedler sigma notation for the comultiplication $\Delta : A \to A \otimes A$ via $\Delta(a) = \sum a_1 \otimes a_2$, for $a \in A$. When the antipode $S$ is (composition) invertible, we denote its inverse $\overline{S}$. Observe that the dual $D(A)$ of a finite dimensional Hopf algebra $A$ is also a Hopf algebra, where the algebra structure of $A$ becomes the coalgebra structure of $D(A)$, and vice-versa, and the antipode of $A$ translates into an antipode $D(S)$ of $D(A)$ in a canonical fashion.

The opposite algebra of an algebra $A$, denoted by $A^{op}$, is the algebra $A$ with the same set of elements and the same addition but with multiplication given by $a * b = ba$, for all $a, b \in A$, where the right hand side is the usual multiplication of $A$. Let $A^e = A \otimes A^{op}$ be the enveloping algebra of $A$. Let $\sigma : A \to A^e$ be defined by $\sigma(a) = \sum a_1 \otimes S(a_2)$. One can check that $\sigma$ is an injective algebra homomorphism. Consequently, we identify $A$ with the subalgebra $\sigma(A)$ of $A^e$. Moreover, we can also induce $A^e$-modules from $A$-modules as follows: let $M$ be a left $A$-module. Consider $A^e$ to be a right $A$-module via right multiplication by elements of $\sigma(A)$, then using tensor product, $A^e \otimes_A M$ is a left $A^e$-module, with action of $A^e$ given by $a \cdot (b \otimes_A m) = ab \otimes_A m$, for all $a, b \in A^e, m \in M$.

Under this setting, $\mathbf{k}$ is the trivial (left) module of $A$ via $\varepsilon$, that is, for $a \in A, r \in \mathbf{k}$, then $a \cdot r = \varepsilon(a)r$. We will use the following notation for the ordinary (non-Tate) cohomology and Hochschild cohomology of the Hopf algebra $A$, respectively:

$$\mathrm{H}^*(A, M) := \mathrm{Ext}^*_A(\mathbf{k}, M) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{Ext}^n_A(\mathbf{k}, M)$$

$$\mathrm{HH}^*(A, M) := \mathrm{Ext}^*_{A^e}(A, M) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{Ext}^n_{A^e}(A, M)$$

where $M$ denotes a left $A$-module in the former case and an $A$-bimodule in the latter case.

## 3. Tate Cohomology for finite dimensional Hopf Algebras

3.1. **Construction.** We apply a similar construction to generalize the Tate cohomology to a finite dimensional Hopf algebra $A$. For any left $A$-module $M$, $D(M)$ is a left $A$-module with action of $A$ given by the antipode $S$:

$$(a \cdot f)(m) = f(S(a)m)$$

for all $a \in A$, $m \in M$, and $f \in D(M)$. Let:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{d_3} P_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} \mathbf{k} \to 0 \tag{1}$$

be an $A$-projective resolution of $\mathbf{k}$, where $P_i$ are finitely generated. Apply $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(-, \mathbf{k})$ to get:

$$0 \to \mathbf{k} \xrightarrow{D(d_0)} D(P_0) \xrightarrow{D(d_1)} D(P_1) \xrightarrow{D(d_2)} D(P_2) \to \cdots \tag{2}$$

where $D(P_i) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(P_i, \mathbf{k})$, $D(d_i)$ is the dual map of $d_i$, and $\mathbf{k} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})$. We claim that (2) is an exact sequence of projective $A$-modules. To see this, we note that exactness follows since $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(-, \mathbf{k})$ is an exact functor. The dual modules $D(P_i)$ are left $A$-modules as discussed above. $D(P_i)$ are injective since $P_i$ are projective $A$-modules. However, $D(P_i)$ are also projective. This is true because any finite dimensional Hopf algebra $A$ is a Frobenius algebra

([12], Theorem 2.1.3). In fact, $D(A)$ and $A$ are isomorphic as left $A$-modules, implying that $A$ is both projective and injective as a module over itself, so the same is true of dual modules.

Splicing complexes (1) and (2) together, we obtain:

$$\cdots \to P_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{\xi} D(P_0) \xrightarrow{D(d_1)} D(P_1) \xrightarrow{D(d_2)} D(P_2) \to \cdots \tag{3}$$

where the middle map $\xi = D(d_0) \circ d_0$. Since (1) and (2) are exact, one can show that (3) is an exact sequence of $A$-modules by proving the exactness at $P_0$ and $D(P_0)$. That is, we want to show $\mathrm{Im}(d_1) = \mathrm{Ker}(\xi)$ and $\mathrm{Im}(\xi) = \mathrm{Ker}(D(d_1))$. By exactness of (1), $\mathrm{Im}(d_1) = \mathrm{Ker}(d_0)$, and $\mathrm{Im}(d_0) = \mathbf{k}$. By exactness of (2), $\mathrm{Im}(D(d_0)) = \mathrm{Ker}(D(d_1))$, and $\mathrm{Ker}(D(d_0)) = 0$. Thus, we have $\mathrm{Im}(\xi) = \mathrm{Im}(D(d_0)) = \mathrm{Ker}(D(d_1))$, showing exactness at $D(P_0)$.

Let $a \in \mathrm{Ker}(\xi)$. Then $\xi(a) = D(d_0)(d_0(a)) = 0$, which implies $d_0(a) \in \mathrm{Ker}(D(d_0)) = \{0\}$. It follows that $d_0(a) = 0$, in other words, $a \in \mathrm{Ker}(d_0)$. As a result, we see that $\mathrm{Ker}(\xi) \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}(d_0) = \mathrm{Im}(d_1)$. Conversely, let $b \in \mathrm{Ker}(d_0)$. Then $d_0(b) = 0$ implies $D(d_0)(d_0(b)) = 0$, since $D(d_0)$ is a linear map, it takes 0 to 0. We have $\xi(b) = 0$ which shows $b \in \mathrm{Ker}(\xi)$. Hence, $\mathrm{Ker}(\xi) = \mathrm{Ker}(d_0) = \mathrm{Im}(d_1)$ showing exactness at $P_0$. Therefore, (3) is an exact sequence.

As before, we let $P_{-(n+1)} := D(P_n)$ and obtain an $A$-complete resolution of $\mathbf{k}$:

$$\mathbb{P}: \qquad \cdots \to P_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{\xi} P_{-1} \xrightarrow{D(d_1)} P_{-2} \xrightarrow{D(d_2)} \cdots$$

which we also call (3). For a left $A$-module $M$, apply $\mathrm{Hom}_A(-, M)$ to (3):

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\widehat{D(d_2)}} \widehat{P_{-2}} \xrightarrow{\widehat{D(d_1)}} \widehat{P_{-1}} \xrightarrow{\widehat{\xi}} \widehat{P_0} \xrightarrow{\widehat{d_1}} \widehat{P_1} \xrightarrow{\widehat{d_2}} \widehat{P_2} \xrightarrow{\widehat{d_3}} \cdots \tag{4}$$

where $\widehat{d}(f) = f \circ d$ and $\widehat{P}_i = \mathrm{Hom}_A(P_i, M)$. We check that (4) is a complex of $A$-modules, that is, $\widehat{d} \circ \widehat{d} = 0$. This follows from the exactness of (3) because the composition of any two consecutive differential maps is 0. We have $(\widehat{d} \circ \widehat{d})(f) = f \circ d \circ d = 0$.

The homology groups of this new complex (4) are the **Tate cohomology** groups:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^n(A, M) := \widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^n_A(\mathbf{k}, M) = \mathrm{H}^n(\mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathbb{P}, M)), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The Tate homology $\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^A_n(A, M) := \widehat{\mathrm{Tor}}^A_n(\mathbf{k}, M)$ is defined in the same manner by applying $- \otimes_A M$ to (3) for a left $A$-module $M$ and taking the $n$-th homology of the new complex. Here, we are only interested in the Tate cohomology. Observe that in our context, naturally, the Tate (co)homology does not depend on the choice of the projective resolution of $\mathbf{k}$ (by the ordinary Comparison Theorem), and hence, is independent of the complete resolution of $\mathbf{k}$ ([1], Theorem 5.2 and Lemma 5.3). One can see this by applying the ordinary Comparison Theorem on the left side of two $A$-complete resolutions of $\mathbf{k}$, which are just projective resolutions of $\mathbf{k}$, to obtain a chain map between two resolutions in positive degrees. We take the linear dual $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(-, \mathbf{k}) = D(-)$ of the entire diagram, so the chain map now goes to the opposite direction. By definition of complete resolutions, the duals of complete resolutions are also exact sequences of projective $A$-modules. We apply the ordinary Comparison Theorem again on the left side of the diagram to obtain a chain map in negative degrees, using the fact that $A$ is self-injective and for finitely generated free $A$-modules $P_i$, $D(D(P_i)) \cong P_i$. Dualize the diagram back again and we have a complete chain map going to both positive and negative degrees between two complete resolutions. This idea provides a version of the Comparison Theorem generalized to a complete resolution ([6], Prop. VI.3.3).

3.2. **Properties of Tate Cohomology.** We make some comparison between the Tate cohomology and the ordinary cohomology of $A$. Here are some important remarks:

(a) For all $n > 0$, we have isomorphisms: $\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^n(A, M) \cong \mathrm{H}^n(A, M)$.

This follows from the construction of a complete resolution of $\mathbf{k}$. The positive-degree components of a complete resolution of $\mathbf{k}$ arise from a projective resolution of $\mathbf{k}$ which is also used to form the ordinary cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^n(A, M)$.

(b) The group $\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^0(A, M)$ is a quotient of $\mathrm{H}^0(A, M)$.

By definition, $\mathrm{H}^0(A, M) = \mathrm{Ker}(\widehat{d}_1)$ while $\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^0(A, M) = \mathrm{Ker}(\widehat{d}_1)/\,\mathrm{Im}(\widehat{\xi})$.

(c) For all $n < -1$, since $\mathrm{Hom}_A(P_n, M) \cong P_{-(n+1)} \otimes_A M$ by the duality isomorphism ([6], Prop. I.8.3c), we have isomorphisms: $\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^n(A, M) \cong H_{-(n+1)}(A, M)$.

With $n < -1, P_n = D(P_{-(n+1)})$, so for $m \in M, p \in P_{-(n+1)}, f \in P_n$, the duality isomorphism $\tau : P_{-(n+1)} \otimes_A M \to \mathrm{Hom}_A(P_n, M)$ is given by $\tau(p \otimes_A m)(f) = mf(p)$. This isomorphism induces the isomorphism on cohomology.

Tate cohomology also enjoys standard properties of ordinary cohomology such as the dimension-shifting:

(d) If $0 \to M \to M' \to M'' \to 0$ is a short exact sequence of (left) $A$-modules, then there is a doubly infinite long exact sequence of Tate cohomology groups:

$$\cdots \to \widehat{\mathrm{H}}^n(A, M) \to \widehat{\mathrm{H}}^n(A, M') \to \widehat{\mathrm{H}}^n(A, M'') \to \widehat{\mathrm{H}}^{n+1}(A, M) \to \cdots$$

whose cohomology long exact sequence is the desired long exact sequence ([1], Prop. 5.4).

(e) If $(N_j)_{j\in J}$ is a finite family of (left) $A$-modules and $(M_i)_{i\in I}$ is any family of $A$-modules, then there are natural isomorphisms, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^n_A(\bigoplus_{j\in J} N_j, M) \cong \prod_{j\in J} \widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^n_A(N_j, M)$$

$$\widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^n_A(N, \prod_{i\in I} M_i) \cong \prod_{i\in I} \widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^n_A(N, M_i).$$

The idea of this proof is similar to that of the ordinary $\mathrm{Ext}^n_A$, using the analogous relation for Hom ([1], Prop. 5.7).

In a current paper [3], the notion of Tate cohomology was combined with the notion of Hochschild cohomology and extended once again to the so-called **Tate-Hochschild cohomology**. This new object gives rise to a question: Is there a relationship between the Tate cohomology of a finite dimensional Hopf algebra $A$ and its Tate-Hochschild cohomology? In the following sections, we will examine this new construction and try to make some connection between these two Tate cohomology structures.

## 4. Tate-Hochschild Cohomology for finite dimensional Hopf Algebras

**Definition 4.1.** *Let $\mathbf{k}, A$, and $A^e$ be defined as before (such that $A^e$ is two-sided Noetherian and Gorenstein). Let $M$ be an $A$-bimodule, for any integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the $n$-th Tate-Hochschild cohomology group is defined as:*

$$\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^n(A, M) := \widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^n_{A^e}(A, M), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

When $A^e$ is a two-sided Noetherian and Gorenstein ring, by ([1], Theorems 3.1, 3.2), every finitely generated $A^e$-module admits a complete resolution. Hence, we obtain a complete resolution $\mathbb{X}$ for $A$ as an $A^e$-module. Moreover, any bimodule $M$ of $A$ can be viewed as a left $A^e$-module by setting $(a \otimes b) \cdot m = amb$, for $a \otimes b \in A^e$ and $m \in M$. The $n$-th Tate-Hochschild cohomology group is the $n$-th homology group of the complex $\mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(\mathbb{X}, M)$. As noted in [3], the assumption that $A^e$ is two-sided Noetherian is not necessary in the finite dimensional case. This also intrigues us to focus on finite dimensional Hopf algebras $A$.

We recall the fact that a finite dimensional Hopf algebra $A$ is Frobenius which is self-injective. ([3], Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2) show that $A^{op}, A^e$ are also Frobenius, hence self-injective. By definition of Gorenstein ring, we see that finite dimensional self-injective algebras $A, A^{op}, A^e$ are Gorenstein of Gorenstein dimension 0. Therefore, the Tate-Hochschild cohomology groups of $A$ agree with the ordinary Hochschild cohomology groups in all positive degrees:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^n(A, M) \cong \mathrm{HH}^n(A, M), \text{ for all } n > 0.$$

We make our first attempt to compare the Tate cohomology and Tate-Hochschild cohomology in positive degrees:

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let $A$ be a finite dimensional Hopf algebra over a field $\mathbf{k}$, then $\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^n(A, \mathbf{k})$ is a vector space direct summand of $\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^n(A, A)$, for all $n > 0$. That means, we may view $\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^n(A, \mathbf{k})$ as (isomorphic to) a quotient of $\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^n(A, A)$.*

*Proof.* For $n > 0, \widehat{\mathrm{H}}^n(A, \mathbf{k}) \cong \mathrm{H}^n(A, \mathbf{k})$ by the property in Section 3.2, and $\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^n(A, A) \cong \mathrm{HH}^n(A, A)$ by the above discussion. Moreover, for a finite dimensional Hopf algebra $A$, its antipode $S$ is bijective ([12], Theorem 2.1.3). Apply ([14], Lemma 7.2), we identify $\mathrm{H}^*(A, \mathbf{k})$ with a subalgebra of $\mathrm{HH}^*(A, A)$, and use appropriate isomorphism maps to obtain the desired result. □

By ([3], Cor. 3.8), if $\nu$ is the Nakayama automorphism of a Frobenius algebra $A$ such that $\nu^2 = \mathbf{1}$, then there is an isomorphism:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^n(A, A) \cong \widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^{-(n+1)}(A, A), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Thus, giving this symmetric structure, we can simplify the relation between the Tate cohomology in degrees $n > 0$ and the Tate-Hochschild cohomology in degrees $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, -1\}$. Namely, for $n > 0, \widehat{\mathrm{H}}^n(A, \mathbf{k})$ is a vector space direct summand of $\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^n(A, A) \cong \widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^{-(n+1)}(A, A)$. This leads us to examine when the Nakayama automorphism $\nu$ of a finite dimensional Hopf algebra is having the property $\nu^2 = \mathbf{1}$. This is trivial if $A$ is symmetric, as $\nu$ is the identity in this case. We then assume $A$ is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra that is not symmetric.

## 5. Nakayama Automorphism

Recall that for any Hopf algebra $A$, its dual $D(A)$ obtains the structure of $A$-module via a left action $a \rightharpoonup f$ and a right action $f \leftharpoonup a$ of $A$ on $D(A)$ as follows: for $a, b \in A, f \in D(A)$, the actions are given by: $(a \rightharpoonup f)(b) := f(S(a)b)$ and $(f \leftharpoonup a)(b) := f(bS(a)) = (S(a) \rightharpoonup f)(b)$. Therefore, $D(A)$ is a left and right $A$-module. There are also a natural left action $f \rightharpoonup a := \sum f(a_2)a_1$ and a right action $a \leftharpoonup f := \sum f(a_1)a_2$ of $D(A)$ on $A$.

The counit $\varepsilon : A \to \mathbf{k}$ gives rise to defining the space of **left integrals** of $A$:

$$\int_A^l := \{x \in A | hx = \varepsilon(h)x, \text{ for all } h \in A\}$$

and the space of **right integrals**:

$$\int_A^r := \{x \in A | xh = \varepsilon(h)x, \text{ for all } h \in A\}.$$

When $A$ is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra (hence Frobenius), we have $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \int_A^l = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \int_A^r = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \int_{D(A)}^l = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \int_{D(A)}^r = 1$, ([12], Theorem 2.1.3). This shows the existence of non-zero integrals in any finite dimensional Hopf algebra $A$ and also in its dual $D(A)$.

Let $0 \neq f \in \int_{D(A)}^l$ be a non-zero left integral of $D(A)$, the non-degenerate associative bilinear Frobenius form $\mathcal{B} : A \times A \to \mathbf{k}$ of $A$ is given by: $\mathcal{B}(x, b) = f(xb)$, for all $x, b \in A$. The **Nakayama automorphism** $\nu : A \to A$ satisfies $\mathcal{B}(x, b) = \mathcal{B}(b, \nu(x))$, for all $x, b \in A$. Replacing $\mathcal{B}$ with a new Frobenius form $\mathcal{B}'$ defined by a unit element $u \in A$ gives us a new automorphism $\nu' = I_u \circ \nu$, where $I_u$ is the inner automorphism $r \mapsto uru^{-1}$. The Nakayama automorphism $\nu$ is unique up to composition with an inner automorphism. Equivalently, it is a well-defined element of the group of outer automorphisms of $A$.

Let $t \in \int_A^r$, we have $at \in \int_A^r$, for all $a \in A$. The (right) **modular function** for $A$ is an algebra homomorphism $\alpha \in D(A)$ such that $at = \alpha(a)t$, for all $a \in A$. By ([10], Lemma 1.5), the Nakayama automorphism $\nu : A \to A$ of a finite dimensional Hopf algebra has the form:

$$\nu(a) = \overline{S}^2(a \leftharpoonup \alpha) = (\overline{S}^2 a) \leftharpoonup \alpha$$

and its inverse is defined by

$$\nu^{-1}(a) = S^2(a \leftharpoonup \alpha^{-1}) = (S^2 a) \leftharpoonup \alpha^{-1}.$$

This formula for $\nu$ also shows that $\nu$ has a finite order dividing $2 \cdot \dim_{\mathbf{k}}(A)$.

Consider for every $a \in A$:

$$\nu^2(a) = \overline{S}^2((\overline{S}^2 a) \leftharpoonup \alpha) \leftharpoonup \alpha = (\overline{S}^4 a) \leftharpoonup \alpha^2.$$

Therefore, to get $\nu^2 = \mathbf{1}$, we need the antipode $S$ to be of order 4 (since $S$ is bijective, $\overline{S}$ is also of order 4), and the right modular function $\alpha$ to be of order 2. Keeping in mind that these are sufficient but not necessary conditions for $\nu^2 = \mathbf{1}$, we examine several known cases for the former condition:

- If $A$ is commutative or cocommutative then $S^2 = \mathbf{1}$, ([12], Cor. 1.5.12).
- If $A$ is a finite-dimensional semisimple and cosemisimple Hopf algebra over a field $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic 0 or of characteristic $p > (\dim_{\mathbf{k}} A)^2$, then $S^2 = \mathbf{1}$, ([12], Theorem 2.5.3).

**Example 5.1** (Sweedler algebra)**.** The smallest non-commutative, non-cocommutative Hopf algebra with dimension 4 over a given field $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic $\neq 2$ was described by Sweedler as follows:

$$H_4 = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbf{k}}\{1, g, x, gx | g^2 = 1, x^2 = 0, xg = -gx\}$$

with the Hopf algebra structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(g) &= g \otimes g, & \Delta(x) &= 1 \otimes x + x \otimes g, \\ \varepsilon(g) &= 1, & \varepsilon(x) &= 0, \\ S(g) &= g = g^{-1}, & S(x) &= -xg. \end{aligned}$$

The antipode $S$ has order 4. The integral spaces of $H_4$ are:

$$\int_{H_4}^{l} = \mathbf{k}\langle x + gx\rangle, \qquad \int_{H_4}^{r} = \mathbf{k}\langle x - gx\rangle.$$

To find the right modular function for $H_4$, we take the right integral $t = x - gx$ and multiply this element by all the basis elements of $H_4$ on the left to see what the right modular function looks like. The desired modular function $\alpha \in D(H_4)$ should satisfy: $at = \alpha(a)t,$ for all $a \in H_4$. After checking for each basis element, we have:

$$\alpha(x) = \alpha(gx) = \alpha(xg) = 0, \qquad \alpha(g) = -1, \qquad \alpha(1) = 1,$$

and

$$\nu(g) = -g, \qquad \nu(x) = -x.$$

By direct computation or by using the fact that $S$ has order 4, we obtain:

$$\nu^2(a) = (\overline{S}^4 a) \leftharpoonup \alpha^2 = a, \qquad \text{for all } a \in H_4.$$

Therefore, $\nu^2 = \mathbf{1}$ on $H_4$. It follows from the above discussion that the Tate-Hochschild cohomology of $H_4$ is symmetric in the sense that:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^n(H_4, H_4) \cong \widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^{-(n+1)}(H_4, H_4), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

An explicit computation of the Tate-Hochschild cohomology of $H_4$ in Section 9 will illustrate this result again.

We examine a more general case, known as the Taft algebra, as described in [16] and ([13], Example 2.9):

**Example 5.2.** Let $N \geq 2$ be a positive integer. Assume the field $\mathbf{k}$ contains a primitive $N$-th root of unity $\omega$. Let $A$ be the Taft algebra generated over $\mathbf{k}$ by two elements $g$ and $x$, subject to the following relations:

$$A = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbf{k}}\{1, g, x, gx | g^N = 1, x^N = 0, xg = \omega gx\}.$$

$A$ is a Hopf algebra with comultiplication $\Delta$, counit $\varepsilon$, and antipode $S$ given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(g) &= g \otimes g, & \Delta(x) &= 1 \otimes x + x \otimes g, \\ \varepsilon(g) &= 1, & \varepsilon(x) &= 0, \\ S(g) &= g^{-1}, & S(x) &= -xg^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $A$ is not semisimple and is of dimension $N^2$. Using $t = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} x^{N-1}g^j$ as a right integral of $A$, we can check that the modular function $\alpha \in D(A)$ is defined as:

$$\alpha(x) = \alpha(gx) = \alpha(xg) = 0, \qquad \alpha(g) = \omega, \qquad \alpha(1) = 1.$$

The Nakayama map $\nu : A \to A$ is given by:

$$\nu(g) = \omega g, \qquad \nu(x) = \omega x,$$

which yields:

$$\nu^2(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & a = 1 \\ \omega^2 a & a = g, x \\ \omega^4 a & a = xg \end{cases}$$

So $\nu^2$ is not the identity map unless $N = 2$, which we have seen in Example 5.1. We remark that under some other settings (for example, using $\overline{S}$ instead of $S$, or using the left integral and left modular function), one might obtain different "formulas" for the Nakayama automorphism, such as $\nu'(g) = \omega g$ and $\nu'(x) = \omega^{-1}x$ as described in ([13], Example 2.9).

It is not easy to classify all non-symmetric finite dimensional Hopf algebras $A$ such that $\nu^2 = \mathbf{1}$. What we have done here does not effectively yield a general connection between the Tate cohomology and the Tate-Hochschild cohomology of a finite dimensional Hopf algebra. In the next section, we observe that these two Tate-cohomology versions obtain ring structures which can help us to develop a deeper understanding of their relation as algebras.

## 6. Cup Products

6.1. **Cup Product on Tate Cohomology.** Suppose $\mathbb{P}$ is an $A$-complete resolution of $\mathbf{k}$. Based on the discussion in ([6], Section VI.5), we also note the following difficulties in constructing the cup product on Tate cohomology:

First of all, $\mathbb{P} \otimes \mathbb{P}$ is not a complete resolution of $\mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k} \cong \mathbf{k}$, as $(\mathbb{P} \otimes \mathbb{P})_+$ is not the same as the tensor product of resolutions $\mathbb{P}_+ \otimes \mathbb{P}_+$, where $\mathbb{P}_+ = \{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$. Consequently, using the map $\mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathbb{P}, M) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathbb{P}, N) \to \mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathbb{P} \otimes \mathbb{P}, M \otimes N)$ would not obviously induce a cohomology product in Tate cohomology as it does in the ordinary non-Tate cohomology. Secondly, when applying the diagonal approximation (a chain map that preserves augmentation) $\Gamma : \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P} \otimes \mathbb{P}$, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there are infinitely many $(i, j)$ such that $i + j = n$, and the dimension-shifting property in Section 3.2 suggests that the corresponding cup products should all be non-trivial. So $\Gamma$ should have a non-trivial component $\Gamma_{ij}$, for all $(i, j)$. Hence, the range of $\Gamma$ should be the graded module in which in the dimension $n$ is $\prod_{i+j=n} P_i \otimes P_j$, rather than $\bigoplus_{i+j=n} P_i \otimes P_j$. This discussion motivates us to the following definitions:

**Definition 6.1.** *If $B$ and $B'$ are graded modules, their **complete tensor product** $B \widehat{\otimes} B'$ is defined by:*

$$(B \widehat{\otimes} B')_n = \prod_{i+j=n} B_i \otimes B'_j.$$

Given two other graded modules $C, C'$ and module homomorphisms $u : C \to B$ of degree $r$ and $v : C' \to B'$ of degree $s$, there is a map $u\widehat{\otimes}v : C\widehat{\otimes}C' \to B\widehat{\otimes}B'$ of degree $r+s$ defined by:

$$(u\widehat{\otimes}v)_n = \prod_{i+j=n} (-1)^{is} u_i \otimes v_j : \prod_{i+j=n} C_i \otimes C'_j \to \prod_{i+j=n} B_{i+r} \otimes B'_{j+s}.$$

Let $\varepsilon : \mathbb{P} \to \mathbf{k}$ be an $A$-complete resolution of $\mathbf{k}$ and let $d$ be the differentials in $\mathbb{P}$. Then the complete tensor product $\mathbb{P}\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{P}$ has the "partial differentials" $d^h_{i,j} = d_i\widehat{\otimes}\mathbf{1}_\mathbb{P}$ and $d^v_{i,j} = (-1)^i \mathbf{1}_\mathbb{P}\widehat{\otimes}d_j$ which are square zero and anti-commute:

$$\begin{aligned}
d^h_{i-1,j} \circ d^h_{i,j} &= (d_{i-1}\widehat{\otimes}\mathbf{1}_\mathbb{P}) \circ (d_i\widehat{\otimes}\mathbf{1}_\mathbb{P}) = (d_{i-1} \circ d_i)\widehat{\otimes}\mathbf{1}_\mathbb{P} = 0,\\
d^v_{i,j-1} \circ d^v_{i,j} &= ((-1)^i \mathbf{1}_\mathbb{P}\widehat{\otimes}d_{j-1}) \circ ((-1)^i \mathbf{1}_\mathbb{P}\widehat{\otimes}d_j) = (-1)^{2i}\mathbf{1}_\mathbb{P}\widehat{\otimes}(d_{j-1} \circ d_j) = 0,\\
d^h_{i,j-1} \circ d^v_{i,j} &= (d_i\widehat{\otimes}\mathbf{1}_\mathbb{P}) \circ ((-1)^i \mathbf{1}_\mathbb{P}\widehat{\otimes}d_j) = (-1)^i d_i\widehat{\otimes}d_j,\\
d^v_{i-1,j} \circ d^h_{i,j} &= ((-1)^{i-1}\mathbf{1}_\mathbb{P}\widehat{\otimes}d_j) \circ (d_i\widehat{\otimes}\mathbf{1}_\mathbb{P}) = (-1)^{i-1} d_i\widehat{\otimes}d_j.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\partial_{i,j} = d^v_{i,j} + d^h_{i,j}$ be the "total differential." We can check that $\partial \circ \partial = (d^v + d^h) \circ (d^v + d^h) = (d^v \circ d^v) + (d^h \circ d^v) + (d^v \circ d^h) + (d^h \circ d^h) = 0$. Therefore, $\mathbb{P}\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{P}$ is a chain complex. Moreover, one can think that we have the "augmentation" $\varepsilon\widehat{\otimes}\varepsilon : (\mathbb{P}\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{P})_0 = \prod_{i+j=0} P_i \otimes P_j \to \mathbf{k}\widehat{\otimes}\mathbf{k} \cong \mathbf{k}$ by taking the zero map on $P_i \otimes P_j$ for such $i$ and $j$ that are not both 0.

Since $\mathbb{P}$ is acyclic, let $t : \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P}$ be a contracting homotopy for $\mathbb{P}$, that is, $t$ satisfies $d_{i+1}t_i + t_{i-1}d_i = \mathbf{1}_\mathbb{P}$, for $t_i : P_i \to P_{i+1}$. Let $T_{i,j} := t_i\widehat{\otimes}\mathbf{1}_\mathbb{P}$, and so for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $T_n = \prod_{i+j=n} T_{i,j}$. We claim that $T$ is a contracting homotopy for $\mathbb{P}\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{P}$.

By definition of $\partial$ in $\mathbb{P}\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{P}$, on $P_i \otimes P_j$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial T + T\partial &= (d^h_{i+1,j} + d^v_{i+1,j}) \circ T_{i,j} + (T_{i-1,j} \circ d^h_{i,j}) + (T_{i,j-1} \circ d^v_{i,j})\\
&= (d_{i+1}\widehat{\otimes}\mathbf{1}_\mathbb{P} + (-1)^{i+1}\mathbf{1}_\mathbb{P}\widehat{\otimes}d_j) \circ (t_i\widehat{\otimes}\mathbf{1}_\mathbb{P}) + (t_{i-1}\widehat{\otimes}\mathbf{1}_\mathbb{P}) \circ (d_i\widehat{\otimes}\mathbf{1}_\mathbb{P}) + (t_i\widehat{\otimes}\mathbf{1}_\mathbb{P}) \circ ((-1)^i\mathbf{1}_\mathbb{P}\widehat{\otimes}d_j)\\
&= d_{i+1}t_i\widehat{\otimes}\mathbf{1}_\mathbb{P} + (-1)^{i+1}t_i\widehat{\otimes}d_j + t_{i-1}d_i\widehat{\otimes}\mathbf{1}_\mathbb{P} + (-1)^i t_i\widehat{\otimes}d_j\\
&= (d_{i+1}t_i + t_{i-1}d_i)\widehat{\otimes}\mathbf{1}_\mathbb{P}\\
&= \mathbf{1}_\mathbb{P}\widehat{\otimes}\mathbf{1}_\mathbb{P}\\
&= \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{P}\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{P}}
\end{aligned}$$

showing that T is a contracting homotopy for $\mathbb{P}\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{P}$. The existence of a contracting homotopy $T$ implies that $\mathbb{P}\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{P}$ is an acyclic complex of $A$-modules.

**Definition 6.2.** *A **complete diagonal approximation map** is a chain map $\Gamma : \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P}\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{P}$ such that $(\varepsilon\widehat{\otimes}\varepsilon) \circ \Gamma_0 = \varepsilon$, that is, $\Gamma$ is an augmentation-preserving chain map.*

A similar argument to the proof given in ([6], Section VI.5) shows the existence of such complete diagonal approximation. Let $\Gamma : \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P}\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{P}$ be a complete diagonal approximation map and $M, N$ be left $A$-modules. Then $M \otimes N$ is also a left $A$-module via the coproduct: $a \cdot (m \otimes n) = \sum a_1 m \otimes a_2 n$, for all $a \in A, m \in M, n \in N$. We define a cochain cup product:

$$\smile : \mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathbb{P}, M) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathbb{P}, N) \to \mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathbb{P}, M \otimes N)$$

given by

$$f \smile g = (f \widehat{\otimes} g) \circ \Gamma$$

with $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathbb{P}, M)$ and $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathbb{P}, N)$ represent elements of $\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^i(A, M)$ and $\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^j(A, N)$, respectively. One verifies that by the definition of differentials on the total complex, the usual coboundary formula holds:

$$\delta(f \smile g) = (\delta f) \smile g + (-1)^i f \smile (\delta g).$$

It follows from the formula that the product of two cocycles is again a cocycle and the product of a cocycle with a coboundary is a coboundary. Thus, this induces a well-defined product on Tate cohomology $\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^i(A, M) \otimes \widehat{\mathrm{H}}^j(A, N) \to \widehat{\mathrm{H}}^{i+j}(A, M \otimes N)$. Moreover, using the dimension-shifting property in Section 3.2 and exactness of tensor products over $\mathbf{k}$, one proves similarly as in ([6], V.3.3 and Lemma VI.5.8) that this cup product is unique, in the sense that it is compatible with connecting homomorphisms in the long exact cohomology sequence, independent of the choice of $\mathbb{P}$ and $\Gamma$, associative, that is,

$$(f \smile g) \smile h = f \smile (g \smile h),$$

and $1 \in \widehat{\mathrm{H}}^0(A, \mathbf{k})$ is an identity. It is immediate from the definitions that this product is natural with respect to coefficient homomorphisms. For example, an $A$-module homomorphism $M \otimes N \to Q$ yields products

$$\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^i(A, M) \otimes \widehat{\mathrm{H}}^j(A, N) \to \widehat{\mathrm{H}}^{i+j}(A, Q)$$

by compositing the cup product and the induced map $\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^{i+j}(A, M \otimes N) \to \widehat{\mathrm{H}}^{i+j}(A, Q)$. In particular, when $M = N = \mathbf{k}$, $\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^*(A, \mathbf{k})$ is a graded ring; and when $N = \mathbf{k}$, $\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^*(A, M)$ is a graded module over $\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^*(A, \mathbf{k})$.

6.2. **Cup Product on Tate-Hochschild Cohomology.** We claim that there is also a cup product on the Tate-Hochschild cohomology:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^i(A, M) \otimes \widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^j(A, N) \to \widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^{i+j}(A, M \otimes_A N)$$

where $M, N$ are $A$-bimodules (which can be viewed as (left) $A^e$-modules). Before showing this cup product, let us recall some useful lemmas whose proofs can be found in [14]. We provide the sketch of the proofs for the sake of completeness. Recall from Section 2 that $A^e$ can be viewed as a right $A$-module via right multiplication by elements of $\sigma(A)$.

**Lemma 6.3.** *$A \cong A^e \otimes_A \mathbf{k}$ as left $A^e$-modules, where $A^e \otimes_A \mathbf{k}$ is the induced $A^e$-module.*

*Proof.* Let $f : A \to A^e \otimes_A \mathbf{k}$ be the function defined by:

$$f(a) = a \otimes 1 \otimes_A 1,$$

and let $g : A^e \otimes_A \mathbf{k} \to A$ be the function defined by:

$$g(a \otimes b \otimes_A 1) = ab,$$

for all $a, b \in A$. One can easily check that $f$ and $g$ are both $A^e$-module homomorphisms, and that they are inverses of each other. □

**Lemma 6.4.** *Let $\sigma : A \to A^e$ be the map defined by $\sigma(a) = \sum a_1 \otimes S(a_2)$. Then the right $A$-module $A^e$, where $A$ acts by right multiplication by $\sigma(A)$, is a projective $A$-module.*

*Proof.* Since $A$ is finite dimensional, its antipode map $S$ is bijective. Moreover, $S$ is an $A$-module map: for all $a, b \in A, S(ab) = S(b)S(a) = S(a) * S(b)$ in $A^{op}$. This implies $S : A \to A^{op}$ is an isomorphism of right $A$-modules, where $A$ acts on the right by multiplication on $A$ and by multiplication by $S(A)$ on $A^{op}$. This yields an isomorphism of right $A$-modules: $A \otimes A \to A \otimes A^{op} = A^e$. Since $A$ is projective over itself, and the tensor product with a projective module is projective ([4], Prop. 3.1.5), $A \otimes A$ is a projective right $A$-module. Therefore, $A^e$ is a projective right $A$-module, where $A$ acts on $A^e$ by multiplying $\sigma(A)$. □

Let $\mathbb{X}$ be any $A^e$-complete resolution of $A$. By the same argument as in Section 6.1, $\mathbb{X}\widehat{\otimes}_A\mathbb{X}$ is an acyclic chain complex of $A^e$-modules. The existence of a complete diagonal approximation map $\Gamma$ does not follow trivially from [6] as before, since $\otimes_A$ is not an exact functor in general. We show it here in details.

**Lemma 6.5.** *There exists a complete diagonal approximation map* $\Gamma : \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{X}\widehat{\otimes}_A\mathbb{X}$.

*Proof.* Observe that $A^e \otimes_A A^e = (A \otimes A^{op}) \otimes_A (A \otimes A^{op}) = A \otimes (A^{op} \otimes_A A) \otimes A^{op} \cong A \otimes A^{op} \otimes A^{op} \cong A^e \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} A$. Since $A^e$ acts only on the outermost two factors of $A$, dropping $A$ in the third step does not change the $A^e$-module structure. Therefore, $A^e \otimes_A A^e \cong A^e \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} A$ is an $A^e$-module isomorphism, not just a $\mathbf{k}$-module isomorphism.

As $A$ is a free (hence projective) $\mathbf{k}$-module, $A^e \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} A$ is also free as an $A^e$-module. Therefore, $A^e \otimes_A A^e$ is a free $A^e$-module. In general, tensor product over $A$ of free $A^e$-modules is free. Since any projective module is a direct summand of a free module, this implies that for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $X_i \otimes_A X_j$ is projective as an $A^e$-module. Again, because $A^e$ is self-injective, projective $A^e$-modules are also injective. Therefore, $X_i \otimes_A X_j$ is injective, implying the direct product $(\mathbb{X}\widehat{\otimes}_A\mathbb{X})_n$ is an injective $A^e$-module for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

As remarked in ([4], Theorem 2.4.2), to form the chain map $\Gamma_+ : \mathbb{X}_+ \to (\mathbb{X}\widehat{\otimes}_A\mathbb{X})_+$ in non-negative degrees, it suffices for the complex $\mathbb{X}_+$ to consist of projective modules but it need not be exact, and for the complex $(\mathbb{X}\widehat{\otimes}_A\mathbb{X})_+$ to be exact but not necessarily to consist of projective modules. Since $\mathbb{X}_+$ is a projective resolution of $A$, we can apply the ordinary Comparison Theorem to obtain a chain map $\Gamma_+$ that is augmentation-preserving. We then consider the projective $A^e$-modules in negative degrees of these complexes, which are (relatively) injective as discussed above. By a generalization of ([6], Prop. VI.2.4), the family of maps $\Gamma_+$ extends to a complete chain map $\Gamma : \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{X}\widehat{\otimes}_A\mathbb{X}$ in both positive and negative degrees. □

We may define a cup product on Tate-Hochschild cohomology as follows: let $M$ and $N$ be $A$-bimodules, then $M \otimes_A N$ is also an $A$-bimodule which can be considered as a left $A^e$-module via $(a \otimes b) \cdot (m \otimes_A n) = am \otimes_A nb$, for $a \otimes b \in A^e, m \in M$ and $n \in N$. Let $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(\mathbb{X}, M)$ represent an element of $\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^i(A, M)$ and let $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(\mathbb{X}, N)$ represent an element of $\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^j(A, N)$. Then:

$$f \smile g = (f\widehat{\otimes}g) \circ \Gamma \in \mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(\mathbb{X}, M \otimes_A N)$$

represents an element of $\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^{i+j}(A, M \otimes_A N)$. One can check that this product is independent of $\mathbb{X}$ and $\Gamma$ and satisfies certain properties as in Section 6.1. In particular, if $M = N = A$, then $\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^*(A, A)$ is a graded ring, for $A \cong A \otimes_A A$. If $N = A$, then $\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^*(A, M)$ is a graded $\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^*(A, A)$-module.

## 7. Relationship between Tate and Tate-Hochschild Cohomology of $A$

We begin with a lemma based on the original Eckmann-Shapiro Lemma but generalized to a complete resolution:

**Lemma 7.1** (Eckmann-Shapiro)**.** *Let $B$ be a ring, let $C \subseteq B$ be a subring for which $B$ is flat as a right $C$-module. Let $M$ be a left $C$-module and let $N$ be a left $B$-module. Consider $N$ to be a left $C$-module via restriction of the action, and let $B \otimes_C M$ denote the induced $B$-module where $B$ acts on the leftmost factor by multiplication. Then for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is an isomorphism of abelian groups:*

$$\widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^i_C(M, N) \cong \widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^i_B(B \otimes_C M, N).$$

One can check that if $B$ and $C$ are $\mathbf{k}$-algebras, where $\mathbf{k}$ is a field, then this is an isomorphism of vector spaces over $\mathbf{k}$.

*Proof.* Let $\varepsilon : \mathbb{P} \to M$ be a $C$-complete resolution of $M$. Since $B \otimes_C C \cong B$ as a left $B$-module, the induced modules $B \otimes_C P_i$ are projective $B$-modules, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The induced complex $B \otimes_C \mathbb{P}$ is exact as $B$ is flat over $C$, with the "augmentation map" $\mathbf{1}_B \otimes_C \varepsilon : B \otimes_C \mathbb{P} \to B \otimes_C M$. So it is a complete resolution of $B \otimes_C M$ as a $B$-module.

It suffices to show that for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathrm{Hom}_C(P_i, N) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_B(B \otimes_C P_i, N)$ as abelian groups. This follows from the Nakayama relations ([4], Prop. 2.8.3). One should also check that these isomorphisms commute with the differentials. By the definition of Tate cohomology, these isomorphisms will comprise a chain map that induces an isomorphism on cohomology and give us the desired result. □

We consider $A$ to be an $A$-module by the left adjoint action: for $a, b \in A$, $a \cdot b = \sum a_1 b S(a_2)$, and denote this $A$-module by $A^{ad}$. More generally, if $M$ is an $A$-bimodule, denote by $M^{ad}$ the left $A$-module with action given by $a \cdot m = \sum a_1 m S(a_2)$, for all $a \in A, m \in M$. We show our main result:

**Theorem 7.2.** *Let $A$ be a finite dimensional Hopf algebra over a field $\mathbf{k}$. There exists an isomorphism of algebras:*

$$\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^*(A, A) \cong \widehat{\mathrm{H}}^*(A, A^{ad}).$$

*Proof.* The antipode map $S$ of a finite dimensional Hopf algebra is bijective. By Lemma 6.4, $A^e$ is a projective, hence, flat $A$-module. We then apply Lemmas 6.3 and 7.1 with $B = A^e$, $C = A$ is identified as a subalgebra of $A^e$, $M = \mathbf{k}$ is a left $A$-module, and $N = A \cong A^e \otimes_A \mathbf{k}$ is an induced left $A^e$-module. We get $\widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^*_A(\mathbf{k}, A^{ad}) \cong \widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^*_{A^e}(A^e \otimes_A \mathbf{k}, A)$ as $\mathbf{k}$-modules, i.e. $\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^*(A, A^{ad}) \cong \widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^*(A, A)$ as $\mathbf{k}$-modules.

To show this is an algebra isomorphism, it remains to prove that cup products are preserved by this isomorphism. Let $\mathbb{P}$ denote an $A$-complete resolution of $\mathbf{k}$. Since $A^e$ is a (right) projective $A$-module by Lemma 6.4, $\mathbb{X} = A^e \otimes_A \mathbb{P}$ is an $A^e$-complete resolution of $A^e \otimes_A \mathbf{k} \cong A$.

Recall that $A$ is acting on $A^e$ on the left as well as on the right via $\sigma$. We define an $A$-chain map $\iota : \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{X}$ by $\iota(p) = (1 \otimes 1) \otimes_A p$, for all $p \in P_i, i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(X_i, A)$ be a cocycle representing a cohomology class in $\widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^i_{A^e}(A, A)$. The corresponding cohomology class in $\widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^i_A(\mathbf{k}, A^{ad})$ is represented by $f \circ \iota$.

Let $\Gamma : \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P}\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{P}$ be a complete diagonal approximation map. $\Gamma$ induces a cup product on cohomology as discussed in Section 6.1. $\Gamma$ also induces a chain map $\Gamma' : \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{X}\widehat{\otimes}_A\mathbb{X}$ as follows: There is a map of $A^e$-chain complexes $\phi : A^e \otimes_A (\mathbb{P}\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{P}) \to \mathbb{X}\widehat{\otimes}_A\mathbb{X}$ given by:

$$\phi((a \otimes b) \otimes_A (p \otimes q)) = ((a \otimes 1) \otimes_A p) \otimes_A ((1 \otimes b) \otimes_A q).$$

$\Gamma$ induces a map from $A^e \otimes_A \mathbb{P}$ to $A^e \otimes_A (\mathbb{P}\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{P})$. Let $\Gamma'$ be the composition of this map with $\phi$.

Let $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(X_i, A)$ and $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(X_j, A)$ be cocycles. The above discussions imply the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathbb{X} & \xrightarrow{\Gamma'} & \mathbb{X}\widehat{\otimes}_A\mathbb{X} & \xrightarrow{f\widehat{\otimes}g} & A \otimes_A A & \xrightarrow{\sim} & A \\
\uparrow{\scriptstyle \iota} & & & & & & \| \\
\mathbb{P} & \xrightarrow{\Gamma} & \mathbb{P}\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{P} & \xrightarrow{(f\iota)\widehat{\otimes}(g\iota)} & A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{m} & A
\end{array}$$

where $m$ denotes the multiplication $a \otimes b \overset{m}{\longmapsto} ab$, for all $a, b \in A$.

As described in Section 6, the top row yields a product in $\widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^*_{A^e}(A, A)$ and the bottom row yields a product in $\widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^*_A(\mathbf{k}, A^{ad})$. Thus, cup products are preserved and $\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^*(A, A) \cong \widehat{\mathrm{H}}^*(A, A^{ad})$ as algebras. □

**Corollary 7.3.** *If $A$ is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra over a field $\mathbf{k}$, then $\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^*(A, \mathbf{k})$ is an algebra direct summand of $\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^*(A, A)$.*

*Proof.* Under the left adjoint action of $A$ on itself, the trivial module $\mathbf{k}$ is isomorphic to the submodule of $A^{ad}$ given by all scalar multiples of the identity 1. In fact, $\mathbf{k}$ is a direct summand of $A^{ad}$ with complement the augmentation ideal $\mathrm{Ker}(\varepsilon)$, where $\varepsilon : A \to \mathbf{k}$ is the counit map.

Since $\widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^*_A(\mathbf{k}, -)$ is additive and by Theorem 7.2, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^*(A, A) \cong \widehat{\mathrm{H}}^*(A, A^{ad}) = \widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^*_A(\mathbf{k}, A^{ad}) & \\
&\cong \widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^*_A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}) \oplus \widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^*_A(\mathbf{k}, \mathrm{Ker}(\varepsilon)) \\
&\cong \widehat{\mathrm{H}}^*(A, \mathbf{k}) \oplus \widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^*_A(\mathbf{k}, \mathrm{Ker}(\varepsilon)).
\end{aligned}$$

Both $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(\varepsilon)$ are closed under multiplication, and this multiplication induces multiplications on $\widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^*_A(\mathbf{k}, -)$ which is also compatible with the ring structure on $\widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^*_A(\mathbf{k}, A^{ad})$. Hence, this is in fact an algebra direct summand. We may view the Tate cohomology $\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^*(A, \mathbf{k})$ as (isomorphic to) a quotient of the Tate-Hochschild cohomology $\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^*(A, A)$. □

This result gives us a connection between the two Tate-cohomology versions of a finite dimensional Hopf algebra $A$. For the rest of this paper, we will compute the Tate and Tate-Hochschild cohomology for some finite dimensional Hopf algebras. These simple examples should give the readers a rough procedure to produce other examples.

## 8. Example: Group Algebra

For a finite group $G$, the group algebra $\mathbf{k}G$ is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra, with the antipode $S(g) = g^{-1}$, the coproduct $\Delta(g) = g \otimes g$, and the counit $\varepsilon(g) = 1$, for all $g \in G$. The

Hopf algebra $\mathbf{k}G$ is always co-commutative and is commutative if and only if the group $G$ is commutative. Its cohomology has been studied in the last few decades and it is well known that the cohomology ring of $\mathbf{k}G$ is finitely generated ([5], Cor. 4.2.2). Moreover, after being introduced by John Tate in [17], the Tate cohomology of $\mathbf{k}G$ with coefficients in a $\mathbf{k}G$-module $M$ and its properties have been fully investigated and elaborated. To add to the study of the group algebra $\mathbf{k}G$, we now examine its Tate-Hochschild cohomology.

We adopt the notation $\mathrm{H}^*(G,M) = \mathrm{H}^*(\mathbf{k}G,M) := \mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathbf{k}G}(\mathbf{k},M)$, for a left $\mathbf{k}G$-module $M$. Let us assume that $G$ is cyclic with generator $g$ of finite prime order $p$, where $p$ is the characteristic of the field $\mathbf{k}$ (if $\mathrm{char}(\mathbf{k})$ does not divide $|G|$, then $\mathbf{k}G$ is semisimple and its cohomology is trivial except in the degree 0, which is not very interesting). Let $A := \mathbf{k}G$, $\mathrm{H}^*(A,\mathbf{k})$ is nontrivial. By ([9], Theorem 2.1), the ordinary Hochschild cohomology of $A$ satisfies:

$$\mathrm{HH}^*(A,A) \cong \mathrm{H}^*(A,\mathbf{k}) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} A.$$

We want to compute $\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^n(A,A) := \widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^n_{A^e}(A,A)$. However, by Theorem 7.2, we obtain an isomorphism: $\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^*(A,A) \cong \widehat{\mathrm{H}}^*(A,A^{ad}) := \widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^*_A(\mathbf{k},A^{ad})$. So it suffices for us to compute the Tate cohomology of $A$ with coefficients in $A^{ad}$.

Observe that $A^{ad} \cong A = \mathbf{k}G = \bigoplus_{h\in G} \mathbf{k}_h$ and by additivity of $\widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}$, we have $\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^*(A,A) \cong \widehat{\mathrm{H}}^*(A,A^{ad}) \cong \bigoplus_{h\in G} \widehat{\mathrm{H}}^*(A,\mathbf{k})$, which is isomorphic to $\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^*(A,\mathbf{k}) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} A$ as algebras under the corresponding cup products. This result holds for any finite abelian group algebra ($G$ is not necessarily cyclic). Thus, once again, we see that the Tate cohomology of $\mathbf{k}G$ is an algebra direct summand of its Tate-Hochschild cohomology.

As $G$ is generated by $g$, let $x = g-1$ be an element of $A$. One can check that $x^p = (g-1)^p = g^p - 1^p = 0$, since $p$ is the characteristic of $\mathbf{k}$. So we may identify $A$ with $B := \mathbf{k}[x]/(x^p)$ and apply the following $B$-free (hence projective) resolution of $\mathbf{k}$ in our computation:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\cdot x^{p-1}} B \xrightarrow{\cdot x} B \xrightarrow{\cdot x^{p-1}} B \xrightarrow{\cdot x} B \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbf{k} \to 0$$

which is an $A$-projective resolution of $\mathbf{k}$, where $\varepsilon(x) = 0$. Taking the dual $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(-,\mathbf{k}) = D(-)$ of the above resolution, we have:

$$0 \to \mathbf{k} \xrightarrow{D(\varepsilon)} D(B) \xrightarrow{D(\cdot x)} D(B) \xrightarrow{D(\cdot x^{p-1})} D(B) \xrightarrow{D(\cdot x)} D(B) \xrightarrow{D(\cdot x^{p-1})} \cdots$$

Since $A$ is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra, our argument in Section 3 follows to show that this is an exact sequence of projective $A$-modules. Splicing these two resolutions, we obtain an $A$-complete resolution of $\mathbf{k}$:

$$\mathbb{P}: \quad \cdots \xrightarrow{\cdot x} B \xrightarrow{\cdot x^{p-1}} B \xrightarrow{\cdot x} B \xrightarrow{\xi} D(B) \xrightarrow{D(\cdot x)} D(B) \xrightarrow{D(\cdot x^{p-1})} D(B) \xrightarrow{D(\cdot x)} \cdots$$

where $\xi = D(\varepsilon)\circ\varepsilon$. To compute the Tate-Hochschild cohomology of $A$, using the isomorphism in Theorem 7.2, we apply $\mathrm{Hom}_A(-,A^{ad})$ to our complete resolution $\mathbb{P}$ of $\mathbf{k}$. However, recall that $A^{ad}$ is $A$ as an $A$-module but with the left adjoint action $a\cdot b = \sum a_1 b S(a_2)$, for all $a,b\in A$. The antipode $S$ of $A = \mathbf{k}G$ is defined by sending $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ which implies $g\cdot a = gag^{-1}$. Since $G$ is cyclic (hence, abelian), $ga = ag$ in $A = \mathbf{k}G$, so this left adjoint action coincides with the trivial action $g\cdot a = a$. Apply $\mathrm{Hom}_A(-,A^{ad}) = \widetilde{(-)}$ to $\mathbb{P}$, we have:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\widetilde{D(\cdot x)}} \widetilde{D(B)} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{D(\cdot x^{p-1})}} \widetilde{D(B)} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{D(\cdot x)}} \widetilde{D(B)} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\xi}} \widetilde{B} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{(\cdot x)}} \widetilde{B} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{(\cdot x^{p-1})}} \widetilde{B} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{(\cdot x)}} \cdots$$

Taking the homology of this complex gives us the Tate-Hochschild cohomology of a group algebra $A = \mathbf{k}G$, with cyclic group $G$ of order $p$.

Let us compute $\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^n(A, A)$ for $p = 2$. For general $p$, the computation is similar. As $A \cong B$, when it is convenient, we will use the actions and elements of $A$ and those of $B$ interchangeably. Here, when $p = 2, G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2, B = \mathbf{k}[x]/(x^2)$ and $\mathbf{k}$ is a field of characteristic 2. As a vector space over $\mathbf{k}$, $D(B) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(B, \mathbf{k})$ has the basis $\{f_1, f_x\}$ which is the corresponding dual basis to the basis $\{1, x\}$ of $B$, that is:

$$f_1(1) = 1, \quad f_1(x) = 0, \quad f_x(1) = 0, \quad f_x(x) = 1.$$

As a left $B$-module (hence $A$-module), one can check that $D(B)$ has the basis $\{f_1, f_x\}$ with $g \cdot f_1 = f_1$ and $g \cdot f_x = f_1 + f_x$. Moreover, $B$ also has similar $B$-module structure via left multiplication. Hence, $D(B) \cong B$ as left $B$-modules. Since $p = 2$, the map $\cdot x^{p-1} = \cdot x$ and $D(\cdot x^{p-1}) = D(\cdot x)$. Consider the differential map $D(\cdot x) : D(B) \to D(B)$, one can check that on the basis of $D(B)$, $D(\cdot x)(f_1) = 0$ and $D(\cdot x)(f_x) = f_1$. On the other hand, as a $B$-module homomorphism, the map $\cdot x$ sends $1 \mapsto x$ and $x \mapsto 0$. Identify $x \leftrightarrow f_1$ and $1 \leftrightarrow f_x$ under the module isomorphism $B \cong D(B)$, we see that the map $D(\cdot x)$ is the same as the map $\cdot x$ under such identifications. We also check that $\xi = D(\varepsilon) \circ \varepsilon : B \to D(B)$ sends $x \mapsto 0$ and $1 \mapsto \varepsilon \in D(B)$. One observes that $\varepsilon = f_1$ since both maps send $x \mapsto 0$ and $1 \mapsto 1$. Again, under the isomorphism $B \cong D(B)$, we identify $x \leftrightarrow \varepsilon$. So $\xi : x \mapsto 0, 1 \mapsto x$, which turns out to be the map $\cdot x$.

Put the above argument together, we obtain our $A$-complete resolution of $\mathbf{k}$:

$$\mathbb{P}: \quad \cdots \xrightarrow{\cdot x} B \xrightarrow{\cdot x} B \xrightarrow{\cdot x} B \xrightarrow{\xi = \cdot x} B \xrightarrow{\cdot x} B \xrightarrow{\cdot x} B \xrightarrow{\cdot x} \cdots$$

Apply $\mathrm{Hom}_A(-, A^{ad}) = \widetilde{(-)}$ on $\mathbb{P}$:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\widetilde{(\cdot x)}} \widetilde{B} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{(\cdot x)}} \widetilde{B} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{(\cdot x)}} \widetilde{B} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\xi} = \widetilde{(\cdot x)}} \widetilde{B} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{(\cdot x)}} \widetilde{B} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{(\cdot x)}} \widetilde{B} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{(\cdot x)}} \cdots$$

We have $\widetilde{B} = \mathrm{Hom}_A(B, A^{ad}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_A(A, A^{ad}) \cong A$ (or $B$) by identifying $b \mapsto f \in \widetilde{B}$, where $f(1) = b$. Moreover, $\widetilde{(\cdot x)}$ is a 0-map by checking the trivial action of $G$ in $A^{ad}$: $\widetilde{(\cdot x)}(f)(b) = f(x \cdot b) = x \cdot f(b) = (g - 1) \cdot f(b) = g \cdot f(b) - f(b) = f(b) - f(b) = 0$. Similarly, $\widetilde{\xi}$ is a 0-map. Hence, $\mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathbb{P}, A^{ad})$ becomes:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{0} B \xrightarrow{0} B \xrightarrow{0} B \xrightarrow{0} B \xrightarrow{0} B \xrightarrow{0} B \xrightarrow{0} B \xrightarrow{0} \cdots$$

Therefore, $\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^n(A, A) \cong \widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}^n_A(\mathbf{k}, A^{ad}) = \dfrac{\mathrm{Ker}(B \xrightarrow{0} B)}{\mathrm{Im}(B \xrightarrow{0} B)} = \dfrac{B}{0} = B \cong A$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let us verify the assertion $\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^*(A, A) \cong \widehat{\mathrm{H}}^*(A, \mathbf{k}) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} A$ that we made earlier in this section. It is well known that the Tate cohomology groups of a cyclic group $G$ of finite order are periodic of period 2, up to isomorphisms determined by a choice of the generator $g$ of $G$ ([6], Example 9.2). Recall the Tate cohomology of a cyclic group $G$ of order 2 with coefficients in a $\mathbf{k}G$-module $M$:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^n(A, M) = \begin{cases} T, & n \text{ is odd} \\ M/2M, & n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

where $T$ is the 2-torsion submodule of $M$, i.e., the submodule of $M$ comprising elements whose double is zero. In our example, $M = \mathbf{k}$. Since the characteristic of $\mathbf{k}$ is 2, the 2-torsion submodule of $\mathbf{k}$ is $\mathbf{k}$ itself. Therefore:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^n(A, \mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{k}, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

so

$$\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^n(A,\mathbf{k})\otimes_{\mathbf{k}} A\cong A,\quad \text{for all } n\in\mathbb{Z}$$

which is isomorphic to $\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^n(A,A)$ as computed above.

We compare this computation with the result in ([3], Theorem 4.4) where they computed the dimension of the Tate-Hochschild cohomology of a finite dimensional commutative complete intersection of the form $\mathbf{k}[x_1,\dots,x_c]/(x_1^a,\dots,x_c^a)$. We see that when $a=2$, $c=1$ and the characteristic of $\mathbf{k}$ divides $a$, the theorem yields $\dim \widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^n(A,A)=2$ which is equal to the dimension over $\mathbf{k}$ of $A=\mathbf{k}G\cong\mathbf{k}[x]/(x^2)$ in our computation. Moreover, ([3], Theorem 4.4) also provides the dimension of $\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^n(A,A)$ for a cyclic group algebra $A=\mathbf{k}G$ of prime order $p$.

## 9. Example: Taft Algebra

9.1. **Tate Cohomology.** We provide an example to compute the Tate and Tate-Hochschild cohomology groups of a Taft algebra $A$, which is a Hopf algebra of dimension $N^2$:

$$A=\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbf{k}}\{1,g,x,gx|g^N=1,x^N=0,xg=\omega gx\}$$

as described in Example 5.2, where the field $\mathbf{k}$ contains a primitive $N$-th root of unity $\omega$. It is known that as an algebra, a Taft algebra is a smash product $A=B\#\mathbf{k}G$ (or a skew group algebra), with $B=\mathbf{k}[x]/(x^N)$, and $G$ is a finite cyclic group generated by $g$ of order $N$ acting on $B$. Let $\chi: G\to\mathbf{k}^\times$ be the character, that is, a group homomorphism from $G$ to the multiplicative group of $\mathbf{k}$, defined by $\chi(g)=\omega$. $G$ acts by automorphisms on $B$ via:

$$^g x=\chi(g)x=\omega x.$$

Note that since $G$ is generated by $g$, all $G$-actions reduce to the action of the generator $g$. By the definition of smash product, $A$ is $B\otimes\mathbf{k}G$ as a vector space, with the multiplication:

$$(b_1\otimes g_1)(b_2\otimes g_2)=b_1({}^{g_1}b_2)\otimes g_1g_2$$

for all $b_1,b_2\in B$ and $g_1,g_2\in G$. We abbreviate $b_i\otimes g_i$ by $b_ig_i$. Moreover, as the characteristic of $\mathbf{k}$ does not divide $|G|=N$, $\mathbf{k}G$ is semisimple and so all the cohomology of $\mathbf{k}G$ is trivial except in the degree 0. From ([15], Cor. 3.4), the Taft algebra's ordinary cohomology is known as:

$$\mathrm{H}^*(A,\mathbf{k})\cong(\mathrm{Ext}^*_B(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}))^G$$

where the superscript $G$ denotes the invariants under the action of $G$. Again, as the characteristic of $\mathbf{k}$ is relatively prime to $|G|$, $G$-invariants may be taken in a complex prior to taking the cohomology. We consider the following $B$-free resolution of $\mathbf{k}$:

$$\cdots\xrightarrow{\cdot x}B\xrightarrow{\cdot x^{N-1}}B\xrightarrow{\cdot x}B\xrightarrow{\cdot x^{N-1}}B\xrightarrow{\cdot x}B\xrightarrow{\varepsilon}\mathbf{k}\to 0 \tag{5}$$

with $\varepsilon(x)=0$ is the augmentation map.

The resolution (5) could be extended to a projective $A$-resolution of $\mathbf{k}$ by giving $B$ the following actions of $G$, for all $b\in B$ and $i>0$:

- In degree 0, $g\cdot b:=({}^gb)$.
- In degree $2i$, $g\cdot b:=\chi(g)^{iN}({}^gb)=({}^gb)$, since $\chi(g)^{iN}=\omega^{iN}=1^i=1$.
- In degree $2i+1$, $g\cdot b:=\chi(g)^{iN+1}({}^gb)=\omega({}^gb)$.

One can check that this group action is compatible with the differentials in (5), that is, the group actions commute with the differentials in each degree. Thus, we can extend the differentials $\cdot x^{N-1}$ and $\cdot x$ in (5) to be $A$-module maps. Moreover, since the characteristic of $\mathbf{k}$ does not divide the order of $G$, an $A$-module is projective if and only if its restriction to $B$ is projective. With these actions, (5) is indeed an $A$-projective resolution of $\mathbf{k}$.

Take the $\mathbf{k}$-dual $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(-,\mathbf{k})$ of (5), we have:

$$0 \to \mathbf{k} \xrightarrow{D(\varepsilon)} D(B) \xrightarrow{D(\cdot x)} D(B) \xrightarrow{D(\cdot x^{N-1})} D(B) \xrightarrow{D(\cdot x)} D(B) \xrightarrow{D(\cdot x^{N-1})} \cdots \tag{6}$$

which is an exact sequence of projective $A$-modules by our arguments in Section 3. Splicing (5) and (6) together in the manner discussed as before, we obtain an $A$-complete resolution of $\mathbf{k}$:

$$\mathbb{P}: \quad \cdots \xrightarrow{\cdot x} B \xrightarrow{\cdot x^{N-1}} B \xrightarrow{\cdot x} B \xrightarrow{\xi} D(B) \xrightarrow{D(\cdot x)} D(B) \xrightarrow{D(\cdot x^{N-1})} D(B) \xrightarrow{D(\cdot x)} \cdots \tag{7}$$

where $\xi = D(\varepsilon) \circ \varepsilon$. To compute the Tate cohomology of $A$ with coefficients in $\mathbf{k}$, apply $\mathrm{Hom}_A(-,\mathbf{k}) = \overline{(-)}$ to (7):

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\overline{D(\cdot x)}} \overline{D(B)} \xrightarrow{\overline{D(\cdot x^{N-1})}} \overline{D(B)} \xrightarrow{\overline{D(\cdot x)}} \overline{D(B)} \xrightarrow{\overline{\xi}} \overline{B} \xrightarrow{\overline{(\cdot x)}} \overline{B} \xrightarrow{\overline{(\cdot x^{N-1})}} \overline{B} \xrightarrow{\overline{(\cdot x)}} \cdots \tag{8}$$

which is a complex of $A$-modules, as shown in Section 3. Take the homology of this new complex, we will obtain the Tate cohomology of the Taft algebra $A$.

Let us compute explicitly for the case $N = 2$. Here, $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $B = \mathbf{k}[x]/(x^2)$, $A = B\#\mathbf{k}G$ is the Sweedler algebra $H_4$ that we have seen in Example 5.1. As before, $B$ has a basis $\{1, x\}$ and $D(B)$ has a dual basis $\{f_1, f_x\}$. Using the free $B$-resolution of $\mathbf{k}$ as in (5), we obtain the ordinary cohomology of $B = \mathbf{k}[x]/(x^2)$ as:

$$\mathrm{H}^n(B,\mathbf{k}) = \mathrm{Ext}^n_B(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{k}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0.$$

By previously defined actions of $G$, $B$ is an $A$-module with:

$$g \cdot x = -x, \quad g \cdot 1 = 1, \quad \text{in 0 and even degrees}$$
$$g \cdot x = x, \quad g \cdot 1 = -1, \quad \text{in odd degrees}$$

such that this action commutes with the differential maps in (5). Applying the isomorphism $\mathrm{H}^*(A,\mathbf{k}) \cong (\mathrm{Ext}^*_B(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}))^G$ and the properties of Tate cohomology as stated in Section 3.2, we take the $G$-invariants of $\mathrm{Ext}^n_B(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k})$ and get:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^n(A,\mathbf{k}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{k}/\operatorname{Im}(\overline{\xi}) & n = 0 \\ \mathbf{k} & n > 0, n \text{ is even} \\ 0 & n > 0, n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

It remains to compute the Tate cohomology groups of $A$ in negative degrees. Here, we denote $(-)_{ev}$ for objects in even degrees and $(-)_{odd}$ for objects in odd degrees, given the corresponding actions of $G$ as $A$-modules; hence, (7) becomes:

$$\mathbb{P}: \quad \cdots \xrightarrow{\cdot x} B_{ev} \xrightarrow{\cdot x} B_{odd} \xrightarrow{\cdot x} B_0 \xrightarrow{\xi} D(B)_{odd} \xrightarrow{D(\cdot x)} D(B)_{ev} \xrightarrow{D(\cdot x)} D(B)_{odd} \xrightarrow{D(\cdot x)} \cdots$$

as an $A$-complete resolution of $\mathbf{k}$. $D(B)$ is an $A$-module via the action: $(g \cdot f)(b) = f(S(g) \cdot b) = f(g \cdot b)$, for $f \in D(B), b \in B, g \in G$ and $S(g) = g^{-1} = g$ in $H_4$. Checking on the basis elements, we see that the $G$-actions on $D(B)$ can be carried along:

$$g \cdot f_1 = f_1, \quad g \cdot f_x = -f_x, \quad \text{in 0 and even degrees}$$
$$g \cdot f_1 = -f_1, \quad g \cdot f_x = f_x, \quad \text{in odd degrees.}$$

By identifying $f_1 \leftrightarrow x$ and $f_x \leftrightarrow 1$, we have $D(B)_{ev} \cong B_{odd}$ and $D(B)_{odd} \cong B_{ev}$ as $A$-modules. $\mathbb{P}$ can be written as:

$$\mathbb{P}: \quad \cdots \xrightarrow{\cdot x} B_{ev} \xrightarrow{\cdot x} B_{odd} \xrightarrow{\cdot x} B_0 \xrightarrow{\xi} B_{ev} \xrightarrow{D(\cdot x)} B_{odd} \xrightarrow{D(\cdot x)} B_{ev} \xrightarrow{D(\cdot x)} \cdots$$

Apply $\mathrm{Hom}_A(-, \mathbf{k}) = \overline{(-)}$ to $\mathbb{P}$, we get the complex of $A$-modules:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\overline{D(\cdot x)}} \overline{B_{ev}} \xrightarrow{\overline{D(\cdot x)}} \overline{B_{odd}} \xrightarrow{\overline{D(\cdot x)}} \overline{B_{ev}} \xrightarrow{\overline{\xi}} \overline{B_0} \xrightarrow{\overline{(\cdot x)}} \overline{B_{odd}} \xrightarrow{\overline{(\cdot x)}} \overline{B_{ev}} \xrightarrow{\overline{(\cdot x)}} \cdots$$

For all $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_B(B, \mathbf{k})$ and $b \in B$, $1 = f(b) = b \cdot f(1) = \varepsilon(b) f(1)$. We may identify $f$ with a map in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})$ and obtain an isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_B(B, \mathbf{k}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})$ which is isomorphic to $\mathbf{k}$. We then observe that $\overline{B} = \mathrm{Hom}_A(B, \mathbf{k})$ is contained in $\mathrm{Hom}_B(B, \mathbf{k}) \cong \mathbf{k}$. One can check that under the corresponding group actions:

$$\overline{B} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{k} & \text{in 0 and even degrees} \\ 0 & \text{in odd degrees} \end{cases}$$

which simplifies the above complex to:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\overline{D(\cdot x)}} \mathbf{k} \xrightarrow{\overline{D(\cdot x)}} 0 \xrightarrow{\overline{D(\cdot x)}} \mathbf{k} \xrightarrow{\overline{\xi}} \mathbf{k} \xrightarrow{\overline{(\cdot x)}} 0 \xrightarrow{\overline{(\cdot x)}} \mathbf{k} \xrightarrow{\overline{(\cdot x)}} \cdots$$

To compute the homology of this complex, we need to see what $\overline{\xi}$ looks like.

$\overline{\xi} : \overline{D(B)} \to \overline{B}$ is defined as $\overline{\xi}(h)(b) = h(\xi(b))$ for $h \in \overline{D(B)}, b \in B$. By exactness of $\mathbb{P}$, $\mathrm{Im}(\xi) = \mathrm{Ker}(D(\cdot x)) = \langle f_1 \rangle$. As an $A$-module map, $h$ sends $f_1 \mapsto 0$, and $f_x \mapsto 1$. Therefore, $\overline{\xi}(h)(b) = h(\xi(b)) = h(f_1) = 0$ and $\overline{\xi}$ is a 0-map, i.e. $\mathrm{Im}(\overline{\xi}) = 0$.

Put these together, we have computed the Tate cohomology for Sweedler algebra $A = H_4$:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^n(H_4, \mathbf{k}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{k} & n < -1, n \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & n < -1, n \text{ is even} \\ \mathbf{k} & n = -1, 0 \\ 0 & n > 0, n \text{ is odd} \\ \mathbf{k} & n > 0, n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

In other words, $\widehat{\mathrm{H}}^n(H_4, \mathbf{k}) \cong \widehat{\mathrm{H}}^{-(n+1)}(H_4, \mathbf{k})$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

9.2. **Tate-Hochschild Cohomology.** In order to compute the Tate-Hochschild cohomology of $A$, we use the following subalgebra of $A^e = A \otimes A^{op}$ as in [7]:

$$\mathcal{D} := B^e \# \mathbf{k}G \cong \bigoplus_{g \in G} (Bg \otimes Bg^{-1}) \subset A^e$$

where the action of $G$ on $B^e$ is diagonal, that is, ${}^g(a \otimes b) = ({}^g a) \otimes ({}^g b)$. This isomorphism is given by $(a \otimes b)g \mapsto ag \otimes ({}^{g^{-1}} b)g^{-1}$, for all $a, b \in B$, and $g \in G$. Note that $B$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-module under left and right multiplications. Since the characteristic of $\mathbf{k}$ does not divide $|G| = N$, the Hochschild cohomology $\mathrm{HH}^*(A) := \mathrm{Ext}^*_{A^e}(A, A)$ is known to satisfy:

$$\mathrm{HH}^*(A) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{D}}(B, A) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^*_{B^e}(B, A)^G$$

as graded algebras. $\mathrm{Ext}^*_{B^e}(B, A)^G$ consists of invariants under the actions induced from the actions of $G$ on $\mathcal{D}$-modules, see ([7], 4.9) or ([15], Cor. 3.4) for more details. Observe the

following $B^e$-free resolution of $B$, ([18], Exercise 9.1.4):

$$P: \qquad \cdots \to B^e \xrightarrow{\cdot v} B^e \xrightarrow{\cdot u} B^e \xrightarrow{\cdot v} B^e \xrightarrow{\cdot u} B^e \xrightarrow{m} B \to 0 \tag{9}$$

where $m$ is the multiplication map $a \otimes b \mapsto ab$,

$$u = x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x, \qquad \text{and } v = x^{N-1} \otimes 1 + x^{N-2} \otimes x + \cdots + 1 \otimes x^{N-1}.$$

Using this resolution, one computes $\mathrm{HH}^n(B) \cong B/(x^{N-1})$ and $(\mathrm{HH}^n(B))^G \cong \mathbf{k}$ for all $n > 0$. This resolution also becomes a $\mathcal{D}$-projective resolution of $B$ by giving the following actions of $G$ on $B^e$, for all $a \otimes b \in B^e, g \in G$ and integers $i > 0$:

- In degree 0, $g \cdot (a \otimes b) = ({}^g a) \otimes ({}^g b)$.
- In degree $2i$, $g \cdot (a \otimes b) = \chi(g)^{iN}({}^g a) \otimes ({}^g b) = ({}^g a) \otimes ({}^g b)$, since $\chi(g)^{iN} = \omega^{iN} = 1^i = 1$.
- In degree $2i+1$, $g \cdot (a \otimes b) = \chi(g)^{iN+1}({}^g a) \otimes ({}^g b) = \omega({}^g a) \otimes ({}^g b)$.

The differentials $\cdot u$ and $\cdot v$ commute with the group actions and turn out to be the maps of $\mathcal{D}$-modules. Since $\mathrm{char}(\mathbf{k})$ does not divide $|G|$, a $\mathcal{D}$-module is projective if and only if its restriction to $B^e$ is projective. With these actions, (9) becomes a $\mathcal{D}$-projective resolution of $B$.

Because $B^e$ is a left $B$-module by multiplying by the leftmost factor in $B^e$, we can take the dual $\mathrm{Hom}_B(-, B) = (-)^*$ of (9). Since $B \cong \mathrm{Hom}_B(B, B)$, we have:

$$0 \to B \xrightarrow{m^*} (B^e)^* \xrightarrow{(\cdot u)^*} (B^e)^* \xrightarrow{(\cdot v)^*} (B^e)^* \xrightarrow{(\cdot u)^*} (B^e)^* \xrightarrow{(\cdot v)^*} \cdots \tag{10}$$

One can show that this is an exact sequence of projective $\mathcal{D}$-modules. Exactness of (10) follows because $\mathrm{Hom}_B(-, B)$ is an exact functor. $\mathrm{Hom}_B(B^e, B) = (B^e)^*$ is a left $B^e$-module via action:

$$((a \otimes b) \cdot f)(c \otimes d) = f((a \otimes b)(c \otimes d)) = f(ac \otimes db)$$

for all $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_B(B^e, B)$, and $a, b, c, d \in B$. The differentials $(\cdot u)^*, (\cdot v)^*, m^*$ are $B^e$-module homomorphisms, since they are just the compositions of maps, $d^*(f) = f \circ d$.

For all $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_B(B^e, B)$, $f(a \otimes b) = f(a(1 \otimes b)) = af(1 \otimes b)$. Hence, we can identify $1 \otimes b$ with $b \in B^{op}$ and $B^e$ with $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(B^{op}, B) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_B(B^e, B) = (B^e)^*$ under the correspondence $a \otimes b \mapsto f_{a \otimes b}$, where $f_{a \otimes b}(1 \otimes 1) = af(1 \otimes b)$. The maps $(\cdot u)^*$ and $(\cdot v)^*$ are then the same as the maps $\cdot u$ and $\cdot v$, respectively. Moreover, $B^e$ is free over itself, so $\mathrm{Hom}_B(B^e, B) = (B^e)^*$ is a projective $B^e$-module. This implies (10) is an exact sequence of projective $B^e$-modules; hence, an exact sequence of projective $\mathcal{D}$-modules.

Splicing (9) and (10) together, we form a $\mathcal{D}$-complete resolution of $B$:

$$\mathbb{P}: \qquad \cdots \xrightarrow{\cdot u} B^e \xrightarrow{\cdot v} B^e \xrightarrow{\cdot u} B^e \xrightarrow{\xi} B^e \xrightarrow{\cdot u} B^e \xrightarrow{\cdot v} B^e \xrightarrow{\cdot u} \cdots \tag{11}$$

where $\xi = m^* \circ m$. Exactness of (11) follows directly from exactness of (9) and (10) and the definition of $\xi$.

Due to the isomorphism $\mathrm{HH}^*(A) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{D}}(B, A)$, we apply $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(-, A) = \widehat{(-)}$ to (11):

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\widehat{\cdot u}} \widehat{B^e} \xrightarrow{\widehat{\cdot v}} \widehat{B^e} \xrightarrow{\widehat{\cdot u}} \widehat{B^e} \xrightarrow{\widehat{\xi}} \widehat{B^e} \xrightarrow{\widehat{\cdot u}} \widehat{B^e} \xrightarrow{\widehat{\cdot v}} \widehat{B^e} \xrightarrow{\widehat{\cdot u}} \cdots \tag{12}$$

where $\widehat{B^e}$ denotes $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(B^e, A)$, and $\widehat{d}(f) = f \circ d$. It is easy to check that the composition of any two consecutive maps $\widehat{d} \circ \widehat{d}$ is equal to 0, making (12) a complex.

For all $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{B^e}(B^e, A), g \in G$, and $a \otimes b \in B^e$, we have $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(B^e, A) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{B^e}(B^e, A)^G$, where $G$ acts on $\mathrm{Hom}_{B^e}(B^e, A)$ by $(g \cdot f)(a \otimes b) = g \cdot f(g^{-1} \cdot (a \otimes b))$. Note that as a

$B^e$-homomorphism, $f$ is completely determined by its value on $1 \otimes 1$. We identify $A$ with $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}, A) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{B^e}(B^e, A)$, under the correspondence $a \mapsto f_a$, where $f_a(1 \otimes 1) = a$, for all $a \in A$. The complex (12) becomes:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\widehat{\cdot u}} A^G \xrightarrow{\widehat{\cdot v}} A^G \xrightarrow{\widehat{\cdot u}} A^G \xrightarrow{\widehat{\xi}} A^G \xrightarrow{\widehat{\cdot u}} A^G \xrightarrow{\widehat{\cdot v}} A^G \xrightarrow{\widehat{\cdot u}} \cdots$$

with the actions of $G$ on $A$ depending on the degrees as stated above. The maps $\widehat{\cdot u}$ and $\widehat{\cdot v}$ are:

$$(\widehat{\cdot u})(\mathbf{a}) = (\widehat{\cdot u}) f_{\mathbf{a}}(1 \otimes 1) = f_{\mathbf{a}}(\cdot u(1 \otimes 1)) = x\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{a}x,$$
$$(\widehat{\cdot v})(\mathbf{a}) = x^{N-1}\mathbf{a} + x^{N-2}\mathbf{a}x + x^{N-3}\mathbf{a}x^2 + \cdots + \mathbf{a}x^{N-1},$$

for all $\mathbf{a} \in A^G$. We use an analogous argument as in ([7], proof of Theorem 2.4) and apply the group action formulas on $\mathrm{Hom}_{B^e}(B^e, A)$ to take the invariants $A^G$. Since $\chi^{iN} = 1$, we find that in 0 and even degrees, $A^G = Z(\mathbf{k}G)$, the center of the group algebra $\mathbf{k}G$, which is $\mathbf{k}G$ itself because $G$ is cyclic. Similarly, in odd degrees, the invariants are spanned by elements of the form $Nxt$, for $t \in G$. However, as $G$ is cyclic generated by $g$, $t = g^j$ for some $j = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1$, we have $A^G$ is spanned over $\mathbf{k}$ by $\{x, xg, xg^2, \ldots, xg^{N-1}\}$ in odd degrees. Thus, $\widehat{\cdot v}$ is the 0-map: $(\widehat{\cdot v})(xg^j) = 0$, as $x^N = 0$ in $A = B\#\mathbf{k}G$. We then have $\mathrm{Ker}(\widehat{\cdot v}) = A^G$ in odd degrees, and $\mathrm{Im}(\widehat{\cdot v}) = 0$. In even degrees, $\mathrm{Ker}(\widehat{\cdot u}) = \mathbf{k}$. In odd degrees, $\mathrm{Im}(\widehat{\cdot u})$ is spanned over $\mathbf{k}$ by $\{xg, xg^2, \ldots, xg^{N-1}\}$.

As a result, in even degrees:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^n(A, A) = \mathrm{Ker}(\widehat{\cdot u})/\,\mathrm{Im}(\widehat{\cdot v}) = \mathbf{k}/0 = \mathbf{k},$$

and in odd degrees:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^n(A, A) = \mathrm{Ker}(\widehat{\cdot v})/\,\mathrm{Im}(\widehat{\cdot u}) = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbf{k}}\{x\}.$$

Both have the same dimension 1 as vector spaces over $\mathbf{k}$. We observe that:

$$\widehat{\xi} : A^G = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbf{k}}\{x, xg, xg^2, \ldots, xg^{N-1}\} \to A^G = \mathbf{k}G$$

maps from degree $-1$ to degree 0. However, as there is no group element or field element in degree $-1$, $x$ and its powers must be sent to 0. It follows that $\widehat{\xi}$ must be a 0-map and $\mathrm{Im}(\widehat{\xi}) = 0$, implying:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^0(A) = \mathrm{Ker}(\widehat{\cdot u})/\,\mathrm{Im}(\widehat{\xi}) = \mathbf{k}/0 = \mathbf{k}.$$

We summarize the Tate-Hochschild cohomology for the Taft algebra $A$, for an integer $n$:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^n(A) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{k} & n \text{ is 0 or even} \\ \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbf{k}}\{x\} & n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Recall the symmetric property that we observed in Example 5.1 for the Sweedler algebra $H_4$:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^n(H_4, H_4) \cong \widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^{-(n+1)}(H_4, H_4), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

Indeed, this symmetric result holds in our computation, since any two finite dimensional vector spaces over $\mathbf{k}$ having the same dimension is isomorphic, $\mathbf{k} \cong \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbf{k}}\{x\}$.

## 10. Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Dr. Sarah Witherspoon for helpful guidance and support during the preparation of this manuscript.

## References


[1] Luchezar L. Avramov and Alex Martsinkovsky, "Absolute, relative, and Tate cohomology of modules of finite Gorenstein dimension," Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **85** (2002), 393-440.

[2] D. J. Benson and J. F. Carlson, "Products in negative cohomology," J. Pure & Applied Algebra, **82** (1992), 107-129.

[3] P. A. Bergh and D. A. Jorgensen, "Tate-Hochschild homology and cohomology of Frobenius algebras," to appear in Journal of Noncommutative Geometry, (2011).

[4] D. J. Benson, *Representations and Cohomology I: Basic representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, **30** (1991).

[5] D. J. Benson, *Representations and Cohomology II: Cohomology of groups and modules*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, **31** (1991).

[6] K. S. Brown, *Cohomology of Groups*, Graduate texts in mathematics, Springer-Verlag, **87** (1982).

[7] S. M. Burciu and S. J. Witherspoon, "Hochschild cohomology of smash products and rank one Hopf algebras," Biblioteca de la Revista Matematica Iberoamericana Actas del "XVI Coloquio Latinoamericano de Algebra," (Colonia, Uruguay, 2005), (2007), 153-170.

[8] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological Algebra*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, (1956).

[9] C. Cibils and A. Solotar, "Hochschild cohomology algebra of abelian groups," Arch. Math, **68** (1997), 17-21.

[10] D. Fischman, S. Montgomery and H. Schneider, "Frobenius extensions of subalgebras of Hopf algebras," Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **349** (1996), 4857-4895.

[11] V. Ginzburg and S. Kumar, "Cohomology of quantum groups at roots of unity," Duke Math. J., **69** (1993), 179-198.

[12] S. Montgomery, *Hopf Algebras and Their Actions on Rings*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, Amer. Math. Soc., **82** (1993).

[13] S. Montgomery, "Representation theory of semisimple Hopf algebras", *Algebra - Representation Theory*, (Constanta, 2000), K. W. Roggenkamp and M. Stefanescu, editors, NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem. **28**, Kluwer, Dordrecht (2001), 189-218.

[14] J. Pevtsova and S. J. Witherspoon, "Varieties for modules of quantum elementary abelian groups," Algebras and Rep. Th. **12** (2009), no. 6, 567-595.

[15] D. Stefan, "Hochschild cohomology on Hopf Galois extensions," Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, **103** (1995), 221-233.

[16] E. J. Taft, "The order of the antipode of a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra," Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **68** (1971), 2631-2633.

[17] J. Tate, "The higher dimensional cohomology groups of class field theory," Ann. of Math. (2) **56** (1952), 294-297.

[18] C. Weibel, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Cambridge Univ. Press, (1994).



Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843

*E-mail address*: vcnguyen@math.tamu.edu